Correction of a Reported Xanthone Synthesis: The Preparation of a Benzo[c]coumarin

by Michael H. Benn^a), Masood Parvez^a), Nigel B. Perry^b), Arvi Rauk^a), and John W. van Klink^{*b})

a) Chemistry Department University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, T2N 1N4, Canada b) Plant Extracts Research Unit, New Zealand Institute for Plant and Food Research Ltd, Chemistry Department, University of Otago, P. O. Box 56, Dunedin, New Zealand (phone: $+64-3-479-8966$; e-mail: john.vanklink@plantandfood.co.nz)

Reinvestigation of a reported synthesis of 5,8-dihydroxy-1,3-dimethoxyxanthone from the reaction of 3,5-dimethoxyphenol with 2-(methoxycarbonyl)-1,4-benzoquinone resulted in the identification of the product as the isomer of benzo $[c]$ coumarin, i.e., 7,10-dihydroxy-1,3-dimethoxy-6H-dibenzo $[b,d]$ pyran-6-one, established by X-ray crystallography. This requires the revision of the structures of the derivatives that were reported.

Introduction. – While searching the literature for ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data for 1,3,5,8tetrahydroxyxanthone $($ = bellidin; 1; Scheme) in order to compare them with those of a compound which we had isolated from a New Zealand gentian, Gentianella antarctica (Hook.f.) T. N. Ho and S. W. Liu, we noticed an account of a synthesis [1].

According to a literature procedure [2], 3,5-dimethoxyphenol (2) and 2-methoxypyridine were added to a solution of 2-(methoxycarbonyl)-1,4-benzoquinone (3) in benzene [1]. This was anticipated to yield a diphenyl ether, 4, from which a xanthone could be produced via reductive methylation, hydrolysis of the ester, and cyclization with polyphosphoric acid [2]. Instead, two products were obtained, the major product being identified as the xanthone 5, i.e., 4 was thought to cyclize spontaneously under the reaction conditions to provide 5. The minor product was ascribed the structure 6.

It was reported that selective demethylation of the presumed 5 was surprisingly more difficult than expected for a 1-methoxyxanthone, and that, when one MeO was finally cleaved under forcing conditions, the product was not the known natural product bellidifolin (7). As only one chelated OH was revealed by the ¹H-NMR spectrum, and, as the 13C-NMR spectrum lacked the resonances expected for a 1-hydroxyxanthone, it was concluded that this product was 3,5,8-trihydroxy-1-methoxyxanthone (8) [1]. Complete demethylation gave what was considered to be the 1,3,5,8-tetrahydroxyxanthone, bellidin (1) [1].

However, while the melting point of $318-320^{\circ}$ of the synthetic product was in agreement with the literature values for bellidin $(1; \text{see } [1])$, the NMR data were significantly different from what we (unpublished data) and others [3] subsequently observed. This induced us to reexamine the synthesis.

Results and Discussion. – In our hands, repetition of the reaction of 2 and 3 afforded, after chromatographic purification, a major product with the same melting

^{© 2010} Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

Scheme. Reported (r) and Corrected (c) Reaction Pathways

i) MgSO₄, 2-Methoxypyridine/25°. ii) – iv) See [1]: for monodemethylation: AlCl₃ in benzene/60°, or pyridinium hydrochloride/140°, or $ZnCl₂$ and $POCl₃/60°$, and for complete demethylation: HI/Ac₂O, or AlCl₃ in benzene/80 $^{\circ}$.

point and ¹ H-NMR spectrum as those reported in [1], and an EI-MS showing an apparent molecular-ion peak at m/z 288 consistent with the required $C_{15}H_{12}O_6$ composition for 5. However, there were very peculiar discrepancies in the 13C-NMR spectra (see Table): 14 of the 15 resonances were as reported, but absent was one at δ (C) 178.5 ppm attributed to the C=O group of a xanthone, and, instead, there was one for a fully substituted sp²-C-atom at δ (C) 101.1 ppm. The lowest-field ¹³C-NMR signal which we observed was at 164.8 ppm.

Table. ¹³C-NMR Data for the Major Product (R_f 0.6) of the Reaction of 2 with 3 (in (D_6)DMSO; ref. $\delta(C)$ 39.5 ppm)

From $[1]$ (20 MHz)	This work (100 MHz)
178.5 (s, CO)	
164.8 $(s, C(3))$	164.8 (s)
161.0 $(s, C(1))$	161.0(s)
155.9 (s, $C(4a)$ or $C(8)$)	155.9(s)
155.1 (s, $C(8)$ or $C(4a)$)	155.0(s)
151.5 $(s, C(10a))$	151.5(s)
145.0 $(s, C(5))$	145.0 (s)
128.5 $(d, C(6))$	128.4 (d)
118.5 $(s, C(8a))$	118.5 (s)
116.8 $(d, C(7))$	116.7 (d)
105.2 $(s, C(9a))$	105.2(s)
	101.1(s)
97.4 $(d, C(2))$	97.3(d)
95.2 $(d, C(4))$	95.0 (d)
57.6 (q, MeO at C(1) or C(3))	57.4 (q)
56.0 (q, MeO at C(3) or C(1))	55.9 (q)

These data were compatible with the formation of a product isomeric with the xanthone, the benzo[c]coumarin, $6H$ -dibenzo[b,d]pyran-6-one 10, which we visualized as formed *via Michael-type addition of* 2 to 3 yielding 9, *i.e.*, initial C - rather than O addition of the ambident 2 to 3, with a subsequent base-catalyzed intramolecular lactonization transforming 9 to 10 (see *Scheme*). From a mechanistic viewpoint this seemed much more plausible than the original suggestion [1] that xanthone formation occurred via a spontaneous cyclization of the product of O-addition: while O-adducts had been obtained by the base-catalyzed addition of phenols to 2, their conversion to xanthones required acid catalysis [2]. Also, although the C,C coupling of 2 with phenols is normally associated with acid catalysis [4], it does occur with highly nucleophilic species such as enols [5] without such catalysis.

Consistent with our hypothesis, ${}^{13}C = O$ signals around 165 ppm have been reported for a series of 7-hydroxy-6H-dibenzo $[b,d]$ pyran-6-ones [6].

The matter was solved by X-ray crystallography which revealed our product to have the structure shown in the Figure: 7,10-dihydroxy-1,3-dimethoxy-6H-dibenzo $[b,d]$ pyran-6-one (10). As shown, there were two intermolecularly H-bonded molecules per unit cell, exhibiting the strong intramolecular H-bonds expected. Full crystallographic details have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre¹).

Figure. X-Ray crystal structures of the two crystallographically independent molecules 10

In short, a crucial error in misidentifying the product of the condensation of 3,5 dimethoxyphenol (2) and 2-(methoxycarbonyl)-1,4-benzoquinone (3) as the xanthone 5, rather than the benzocoumarin 9, has resulted in the need to revise the structures of all of the derivatives of it described in the original publication [1]: 23 other compounds, the true structures of 7 of which can be deduced with reasonable certainty (the diacetate, dibenzoate, dimethyl, and dibenzyl derivatives of 10; and the completely demethylated product, 11, and its tetraacetate and tetrabenzoate). It also appears probable that the minor product assigned the structure 6 is actually 12.

Some $6H$ -dibenzo $[b,d]$ pyran-6-ones have biological activities (see those noted in [6]) so it would be interesting to examine the activities of the derivatives synthesized by Vermes et al. [1].

We thank Dorothy Fox, Johnson Li, Loeke Janzen, Qiao Wu, and Ragav Yamdagni for assistance in collecting the spectrometric data. Financial support was provided from funds provided by Sigma Aldrich $(to M. H. B.).$

Experimental Part

General. Anal. and prep. TLC: SiO_2 60 (0.2 mm) on glass plates (5 \times 20 and 20 \times 20 cm, resp.) with EtOH/toluene $1:9 (v/v)$ as eluent, visualization under short- and long-wavelength UV light. UV Spectra: Cary 300 spectrometer, absorptions reported as λ_{max} in nm (log ε). Fluorescence spectrum: Aminco Bowman Series 2 luminescence spectrometer. NMR Spectra: Bruker Instruments DRX 400 spectrometer using CDCl₃ or (D_6) DMSO (chemical shifts in ppm referred to solvent signals $\delta(H)$ 7.25 or 2.51, $\delta(C)$ 77.0 or 39.5, resp.), coupling constants J in Hz. EI-MS (70 eV): Finnigan MAT instrument; only ions with an abundance greater than 20% of the most abundant ion are reported; in m/z .

For the X-ray crystallographic study, a colorless plate crystal of the compound was coated with Paratone 8277 oil (Exxon) and mounted on a glass fiber. All measurements were made on a Bruker APEX2 CCD installed on a Nonius Kappa Goniometer diffractometer with graphite monochromated MoK_a radiation. The data were collected [7] using ω and φ scans. The data were corrected for Lorentz

¹⁾ CCDC-729937 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this article. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/ data_request/cif.

and polarization effects, and for absorption using multi-scan method [8]. The structure was solved by direct methods [9] and expanded using *Fourier* techniques [10]. The asymmetric unit contains two independent molecules. The non-H-atoms were refined anisotropically. All of the H-atoms were located from a difference *Fourier* map and were allowed to refine with isotropic thermal parameters. The final cycle of full-matrix least-squares refinement using SHELXL97 [11] converged with unweighted and weighted agreement factors, $R = 0.0415$ and $wR = 0.1071$ (all data), resp., and goodness-of-fit, $S = 1.081$. The weighting scheme was based on counting statistics, and the final difference Fourier map was essentially featureless. The figures were plotted with the aid of ORTEP-3 for Windows [12].

7,10-Dihydroxy-1,3-dimethoxy-6H-dibenzo[b,d]pyran-6-one (10). According to the procedure described in [1], a soln. of 2-(methoxycarbonyl)-1,4-benzoquinone (3) in anh. benzene (17 ml) was prepared in situ by the oxidation of methyl 2,5-dihydroxybenzoate (1.68 g) with a suspension of Ag₂O (5.1 g) and anh. K₂CO₃ (850 mg) at 50°. After filtration, MgSO₄ (1.7 g) was added, and the suspension was stirred, while a soln. of 3,5-dimethoxyphenol (1.07 g) and 2-methoxypyridine (2.28 g) in dry benzene (10 ml) was added dropwise over the course of 10 min. After having been stirred for a further 2 h at r.t., the mixture was filtered, and the filter cake was washed with a little dry benzene. The combined filtrate and washings were evaporated under reduced pressure $(17 \text{ mm}, \text{rotovan}, 65^{\circ})$ to yield a dark red-brown oil. This was dissolved in MeOH (50 ml) and stored at 0° overnight. The bronze-colored solid which separated was collected by filtration, washed with a little ice-cold MeOH, and air-dried to yield a coppercolored solid (540 mg, ca. 19%). TLC (toluene/EtOH 9:1 (v/v)) revealed two components as yellow spots with R_f 0.6 and 0.42. A portion of this mixture (15 mg) was separated by prep. TLC in the same solvent system, and the material with R_f 0.6 was recrystallized from EtOH to afford 10 (8 mg, 0.4%). Pale yellow laths. M.p. 168-169 ([1]: 167-168°). UV and fluorescence spectra (in 95% EtOH): 213 (4.57), 246 (4.48), 276 (4.02), 379 (4.16); $\lambda_{\rm ex}$ 379, $\lambda_{\rm em}$ 464. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 11.21 (s, 1 H); 8.77 (s, 1 H); 7.33 (*d*, $J = 9.0, 1 \text{ H}$); 7.01 (d, $J = 9.0, 1 \text{ H}$); 6.62 (d, $J = 2.5, 1 \text{ H}$); 6.53 (d, $J = 2.5, 1 \text{ H}$); 4.06 (s, 3 H); 3.88 (s, 3 H). $1\,\text{H-NMR}$ from [1] (100 MHz): 11.22 (s, 1 H); 8.77 (s, 1 H); 7.31 (d, J = 9, 1 H); 7.00 (d, J = 9, 1 H); 6.57 (d, $J = 2.5, 1$ H); 6.49 (d, $J = 2.5, 1$ H); 4.05 (s, 3 H); 3.86 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR: see *Table*. EI-MS: 288 (100), 273 (92), 245 (33), 213 (47).

The minor product, 7,10-dihydroxy-8-(2-hydroxy-4,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,3-dimethoxy-6H-diben $z \circ f$ b,d/pyran-6-one (12) with R_f 0.42 was similarly obtained as bronze prisms (2 mg, 0.06%). M.p. 259 – 262° ([1]: 259 – 262°). ¹H-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 11.11 (s, 1 H); 9.26 (s, 1 H); 8.80 (s, 1 H); 7.08 (s, 1 H); 6.77 (d, $J = 2.5$, 1 H); 6.74 (d, $J = 2.5$, 1 H); 6.15 (s, 2 H); 4.05 (s, 3 H); 3.87 (s, 3 H); 3.75 (s, 3 H); 3.63 (s, 3 H). ¹ H-NMR from [1] (100 MHz): 11.11 (s, 1 H); 9.25 (s, 1 H); 8.80 (s, 1 H); 7.10 (s, 1 H); 6.77 (2 arom. H); 6.2 (s, 2 H); 4.10 (s, 3 H); 3,90 (s, 3 H); 3.77 (s, 3 H); 3.65 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 165.6 (s); 160.8 (s); 160.4 (s); 158.6 (s); 156.3 (s); 155.9 (s); 154.2 (s); 151.4 (s); 144.0 (s); 131.5 (d); 123.9 (s) ; 117.0 (s) ; 104.8 (s) ; 104.6 (s) ; 101.3 (s) ; 97.3 (d) ; 95.3 (d) ; 94.1 (d) ; 90.1 (d) ; 57.6 (q) ; 55.6 (q) ; 55.6 (q) ; 55.1 (q). ¹C-NMR from [1] (20.15 MHz; only 22 resonances listed): 165.7 (s); 160.9 (s); 160.6 (s); 158.7 (s) ; 156.4 (s) ; 155.8 (s) ; 154.5 (s) ; 151.5 (s) ; 144.0 (s) ; 131.7 (d) ; 124.1 (s) ; 117.1 (s) ; 105.2 (s) ; 104.7 (s) ; 97.5 (d); 95.3 (d); 94.1 (d); 90.1 (d); 57.6 (q); 56.0 (q); 55.6 (q); 55.1 (q). EI-MS: 440 (100), 422 (30), 407 (22).

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Vermes, O. Seligmann, H. Wagner, Helv. Chim. Acta 1985, 92, 375.
- [2] P. Müller, T. Venakis, C. H. Eugster, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1979, 62, 2350.
- [3] a) H. Kanamori, I. Sakumoto, M. Mizuta, K. Hashimoto, O. Tanaka, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1984, 32, 2290; b) H.-M. Zhou, Y.-L. Liu, G. Blasko, G. A. Cordell, Phytochemistry 1989, 28, 3569.
- [4] P. Müller, T. Venakis, C. H. Eugster, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1979, 62, 2833.
- [5] S. E. Fumagalli, C. H. Eugster, Helv. Chim. Acta 1971, 54, 959.
- [6] B. Appel, N. N. R. Saleh, P. Langer, Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 1221.
- [7] Z. Otwinowski, W. Minor, Methods Enzymol. 1997, 276, 307.
- [8] R. Hooft, COLLECT, Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands, 1998.
- [9] A. Altomare, M. Cascarano, C. Giacovazzo, A. Guagliardi, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1993, 26, 343.
- [10] P. T. Beurskens, G. Admiraal, G. Beurskens, W. P. Bosman, R. de Gelder, R. Israel, J. M. N. Smits, The DIRDIF-94 program system, Technical Report of the Crystallography Laboratory, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 1994.
- [11] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Ser. A 2008, A64, 112.
- [12] L. J. Farrugia, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1997, 30, 565.

Received August 4, 2009